WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag & The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

Case No. OA- 272 OF 2018 DR DEBASISH DEBANGSHI Vs. STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

		Office estion with data
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order. 1	2	of parties when necessary
1		3
01	For the Applicant : Mr.G.P.Banerjee	
25.04.18	Mr.A.Lahiri	
	Learned Advocates	
	For the Respondents : Mr.S.Ghosh	
	Learned Advocate	
	The applicant has prayed for quashing of the	
	order dated April 2, 2018 passed by the Respondent No.1	
	and order of transfer of the applicant dated January 29,	
	2018 issued by the Respondent No.4. Previously, the	
	applicant prayed for quashing of the said order of his	
	transfer dated January 29, 2018 by filing OA-103 of 2018.	
	The said OA-103 of 2018 was disposed of by this	
	Tribunal on March 13, 2018 by giving direction to the	
	Respondent No.1 to consider the representation	
	submitted by the applicant in connection with his	
	transfer. The contention of the applicant is that the issues	
	raised by the applicant in his representation have not	
	been considered by the Respondent No. 1 by passing a	
	reasoned order on April 2, 2018.	
	Mr.Banerjee, Learned Counsel for the applicant	
	contends that the applicant cannot be transferred at all	
	from his present post of Medical Officer at Suri Sadar	

DR DEBASISH DEBANGSHI

Form No.

....

Vs.

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Case 110, <u>OA - 272 OF 2010</u>		
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	Hospital due to stay of operation of previous order of	
	transfer of the applicant from the said post by the	
	Hon'ble High Court. Mr.Banerjee argues that the	
	applicant being the Course Director of D.N.B. course	
	cannot be transferred from his present assignment till the	
	end of the year 2021. The gist of submission made by	
	Mr.Banerjee is that the order of transfer of the applicant	
	and the reasoned order passed by the Respondent No.1	
	in connection with the representation of the applicant are	
	liable to be set aside.	
	Mr.Ghosh, Learned Counsel appearing on behalf	
	of the State Respondents submits that the grounds taken	
	by the applicant for challenging the order of transfer do	
	not exist any more. By elaborating his argument,	
	Mr.Ghosh submits that the applicant has not taken any	
	initiative for renewal of Physically Handicapped	
	Certificate after the year 2013 and the wife of the	
	applicant is no more serving Government employee of	
	Suri Sadar Hospital after the year 2008. He further	
	contends that the applicant is working as Medical Officer	
	at Suri Sadar Hospital for about fifteen (15) years by	
í		İ

DR DEBASISH DEBANGSHI

Form No.

••••

Vs.

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

.....

Case No. <u>OA - 2/2 OF 2010</u>		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	challenging various orders of transfer before the	
	Tribunal or before the Hon'ble High Court in violation of	
	interest of public service.	
	The applicant joined as Medical officer of Suri	
	Sadar Hospital on January 14, 2003. Transfer of Medical	
	Officer attached to any Government Hospital is not only	
	an incident inherent in the terms of appointment, but	
	also implicit as an essential condition of service. The	
	Tribunal can intervene in the order of transfer, if the said	
	order is found to be arbitrary or malafide or punitive in	
	nature or violative of any statutory provision. Now, we	
	would like to consider the submission made on behalf of	
	the applicant for deciding whether the impugned order	
	of transfer and the impugned reasoned order passed by	
	the Respondent No.1 are arbitrary or malafide or	
	punitive in nature or violative of any provision of law.	
	The applicant was transferred after completion of	
	three (3) years of service as Medical Officer of Suri Sadar	
	Hospital by order dated May 11, 2006, but the said order	

DR DEBASISH DEBANGSHI

Form No.

••••

Vs.

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	was withdrawn by the administration when the	
	applicant approached the Tribunal by filing OA-1561 of	
	2006. The applicant was again transferred from Suri	
	Sadar Hospital to Indira Matri-O-Sisu Kalyan Hospital,	
	Kolkata by order dated January 29, 2009 which was	
	unsuccessfully challenged before the Tribunal by filing	
	OA-319 of 2009. The order of the Tribunal was	
	challenged before the Hon'ble High Court by filing	
	WPST-584 of 2009. The Hon'ble Division Bench of the	
	High Court at Calcutta passed an interim order staying	
	operation of order of transfer of the applicant and the	
	said interim order was extended until further order in	
	WPST-584 of 2009. The applicant was again transferred	
	from the post of Medical Officer of Suri Sadar Hospital	
	by an order dated May 28, 2013 which was again	
	challenged by the applicant by filing an application in	
	connection with WPST-584 of 2009 and obtained stay of	
	operation of the said order of transfer. With the above	
	factual matrix, Mr.Banerjee submits that the applicant	
	cannot be transferred from his present post of Medical	
	officer of Suri Sadar Hospital by the impugned order of	
	transfer dated January 29, 2018. We are unable to accept	

DR DEBASISH DEBANGSHI

Form No.

••••

Vs.

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar 3
	this contention made on behalf of the applicant on	
	consideration of long tenure of the applicant at Suri	
	Sadar Hospital for a period of 15 years, particularly	
	when the applicant belongs to service whose members	
	can be transferred in public interest throughout the state	
	of West Bengal. The issue whether the applicant can be	
	transferred during pendency of hearing of WPST-584 of	
	2009 before the Hon'ble High Court can only be decided	
	by the Hon'ble High Court and not by this Tribunal.	
	The grounds for challenging successive orders	
	of transfer of the applicant from Suri Sadar Hospital are	
	that the applicant is physically handicapped person and	
	that his wife is working as Government employee of	
	Suri.	
	On consideration of the reasoned order dated	
	April 2, 2018 passed by the Respondent No.1, we find	
	that the applicant did not bother to appear before	
	Central Medical Board at Medical College & Hospital,	
	Kolkata on three (3) consecutive dates for deciding the	
	validity of the Handicapped Certificate issued in his	

DR DEBASISH DEBANGSHI

Form No.

••••

Vs.

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar 3
	favour and thereby the Handicapped Certificate issued	
	in favour of the applicant was valid only till January 13,	
	2013. The plea taken by the applicant that his wife is an	
	employee of Suri Sadar Hospital was found to be false by	
	the Respondent No.1 who has observed that the wife of	
	the applicant submitted resignation and the said	
	resignation letter was accepted w.e.f. April 22, 2008.	
	Accordingly, the above two issues raised by the	
	applicant to stall the order of transfer was rightly	
	decided by the Respondent No.1.	
	With regard to the plea of the applicant that	
	there cannot be any change in the faculty after	
	commencement of D.N.B. course as per Accreditation	
	Policies and General Instructions issued by National	
	Board of Examination, Ministry of Health & Family	
	Welfare, Government of India, the Respondent No.1 has	
	rightly observed in the reasoned order that the	
	Department of Health & Family Welfare, Government of	
	West Bengal will take care of the situation by engaging	
	proper faculty for the D.N.B. course at Suri Sadar	
	Hospital. The applicant cannot be prejudiced, if	

DR DEBASISH DEBANGSHI

Form No.

••••

Vs.

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

.....

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary 3
	disruption takes place in running D.N.B. course at Suri	
	Sadar Hospital, because the Department of Health &	
	Family Welfare, Government of West Bengal will be	
	duty bound to take appropriate steps by identifying the	
	faculty to impart pre-clinical and para-clinical subjects to	
	D.N.B. students after transfer of the applicant from the	
	said assignment. Accordingly, we are unable to accept	
	the contention made on behalf of the applicant that the	
	applicant will be prejudiced for his transfer from Suri	
	Sadar Hospital and for being deprived of faculty of	
	D.N.B. course.	
	With regard to the submission made on behalf of	
	the applicant that he has been discriminated for his	
	release from the present post of Suri Sadar Hospital,	
	while many other doctors of Suri Sadar Hospital have	
	not been released after transfer, we would like to observe	
	that the decision to release or not to release any Medical	
	Officer of the Government Hospital depends on many	
	considerations including exigency of public service. The	
	exigency of public service may necessitate stay of release	
	of a particular Medical Officer. That apart, the tenure of	
	the doctors whose release was held up at Suri Sadar	

DR DEBASISH DEBANGSHI

Form No.

••••

Vs.

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Case No. <u>OA - 2</u>	••••••	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
		3
	Hospital is not disclosed by the applicant who is serving	
	for fifteen (15) years at Suri Sadar Hospital.	
	So, the plea of discrimination taken by the applicant	
	has not been substantiated. Moreover, the applicant	
	cannot take the said plea to evade transfer after having	
	long tenure of fifteen (15) years at Suri Sadar Hospital.	
	In view of our above findings, we cannot	
	persuade ourselves to hold that the impugned order of	
	transfer or the impugned reasoned order passed by the	
	Respondent No.1 are illegal or arbitrary or malafide or	
	punitive in nature or violative of any provision of law.	
	We do not find any merit in the present application. As a	
	result, the original application is dismissed.	
	Urgent xerox certified copy of the order, if applied	
	for, be given to the parties on priority basis on	
	compliance of all necessary formalities.	
BLR	(S.K.Das) (R.K.Bag) MEMBER(A) MEMBER (J)	